## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 Denise Ramirez Quezada, Case No.: 2:24-cv-0327-JAD-EJY 4 Plaintiff **Order Adopting Report and** 5 **Recommendation and Dismissing Case** v. 6 U.S. Government, [ECF Nos. 1, 2] 7 Defendant 8 The magistrate judge has screened Plaintiff Denise Ramirez Quezada's complaint in this case and recommends that it be dismissed with prejudice because the allegations on which it is based (that the government "hacked her brain" in "project artichoke") are "so fantastic that they are not believable." The deadline for any party to object to that recommendation was March 5, $11\parallel$ 12||2024, and no party filed anything or asked to extend the deadline to do so. "[N]o review is 13 required of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation unless objections are filed."<sup>2</sup> 14 Having reviewed the report and recommendation, I find good cause to adopt it, and I do. 15 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 2] is ADOPTED in its entirety. This case is DISMISSED with 17prejudice, and the motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis [ECF No. 1] is DENIED 18 as moot. 19 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsev 20 March 11, 2024 21 <sup>1</sup> ECF No. 2 at 1. 22

23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).